# **Deep Gaussian Denoiser Epistemic Uncertainty and Decoupled Dual-Attention Fusion**

# 

# We tap into the epistemic denoiser uncertainty by: (1) Generating a virtual ensemble from a unique pretrained network using: **Spatial manipulations (SM):** rotation and mirroring of images. Frequency manipulations (FM): masking out different frequency bands [1]. (2) Performing a dual-attention fusion over our virtual ensemble with: Spatial attention: providing a *pixel-wise* adaptation for each denoised manipulated image. **Channel attention:** adapting to the quality of images for each manipulation as a whole. **Fusion phase:** merging the outputs of the attention paths.

[1] El Helou et al. "Stochastic frequency masking to improve super-resolution and denoising networks." Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision, 2020.



(g) MemNet +

Fusion

(e) Noisy (sigma=50)

(f) DnCNN + Fusion

Paper: https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.04631

Majed El Helou Sabine Süsstrunk

Xiaoqi Ma

Xiaoyu Lin

School of Computer and Communication Sciences, EPFL, Switzerland

## Abstract

Deep denoising solutions suffer from epistemic uncertainty that can limit further advancements. This uncertainty is traditionally mitigated through different ensemble approaches. However, such ensembles are prohibitively costly with deep networks, which are already large in size. We propose a modelagnostic approach for reducing epistemic uncertainty while using only a single pretrained network. Our results significantly improve over the state-of-the-art baselines and across varying noise levels.

## Key take-aways

- > We study image manipulation techniques for a virtual ensemble on one pretrained denoiser.
- > We propose a dual-attention fusion on our virtual ensemble, with decoupled attention paths.
- > Our method is denoiser agnostic and can potentially be applied on other restoration tasks.



## **Sample results**

(h) RIDNet + Fusion

(c) Noisy (sigma=50)



(b) DnCNN



(d) DnCNN + Fusion

Backbone denoiser	Noise level	Baseline results	Ensemble (SM - FM - Joint)	Spatial attention (SM - FM - Joint)	Channel attention (SM - FM - Joint)	Ours full (SM - FM - Joint)
DnCNN [6]	10	33.30	33.38 32.29 33.11	33.48 33.52 <u>33.56</u>	33.39 33.37 <u>33.45</u>	33.55 33.52 <b>33.58</b>
	20	29.72	29.78 29.25 29.67	29.84 <u>29.92</u> 29.90	29.78 29.73 <u>29.79</u>	29.99 29.98 <b>30.03</b>
	30	27.68	27.74 27.34 27.66	27.83 28.02 28.02	27.74 27.70 <u>27.75</u>	28.12 28.10 <b>28.16</b>
	40	26.19	26.24 25.87 26.18	26.41 <u>26.72</u> 26.70	26.24 26.25 <u>26.29</u>	26.88 26.89 <b>26.91</b>
	50	24.96	25.01 24.67 24.96	25.26 <u>25.62</u> 25.55	25.01 25.13 <u>25.15</u>	25.96 25.97 <b>25.99</b>
MemNet [7]	10	33.40	33.52 32.36 33.25	33.52 33.55 <u>33.60</u>	33.52 33.43 <u>33.54</u>	33.64 33.52 <b>33.65</b>
	20	29.71	29.79 29.10 29.63	29.85 29.94 <u>29.99</u>	29.79 29.78 <u>29.84</u>	30.05 29.95 <b>30.06</b>
	30	27.61	27.68 27.10 27.55	27.83 28.06 28.07	27.68 27.77 27.81	28.16 28.14 <b>28.18</b>
	40	26.11	26.17 25.64 26.06	26.36 26.70 <u>26.78</u>	26.17 26.37 26.39	26.92 26.93 <b>26.94</b>
	50	24.94	24.99 24.51 24.92	25.22 25.62 25.80	24.99 <u>25.29</u> 25.27	25.92 26.01 <b>26.02</b>
RIDNet [9]	10	33.58	33.67 32.41 33.35	33.66 33.65 <u>33.70</u>	<u>33.67</u> 33.59 <u>33.67</u>	<b>33.73</b> 33.63 <b>33.73</b>
	20	29.86	29.91 29.17 29.73	29.93 29.98 <u>30.06</u>	29.91 29.89 <u>29.93</u>	30.10 30.06 <b>30.11</b>
	30	27.71	27.76 27.13 27.61	27.87 28.11 28.11	27.76 27.83 27.87	28.22 28.19 <b>28.24</b>
	40	26.13	26.18 25.65 26.07	26.35 26.81 26.85	26.18 26.42 26.44	26.97 26.97 <b>27.0</b> 1
	50	24.90	24.95 24.50 24.88	25.17 <u>25.60</u> 25.55	24.95 <u>25.32</u> <u>25.32</u>	26.01 26.06 <b>26.08</b>

Paper ID 1441







### Code: https://github.com/IVRL/DEU